

For Translation Purposes Only

April 1, 2005

For Immediate Release

Nomura Real Estate Office Fund, Inc. 8-5-1 Nishi Shinjuku, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo Yasutoshi Akiyama Representative and Executive Director (Securities Code: 8959)

Inquiries:
Nomura Real Estate Asset Management Co., Ltd.
Atsushi Ogata
Fund Management Group
TEL: +81-3-3365-0507

Notice Concerning Property Acquisition

Nomura Real Estate Office Fund, Inc. ("Nomura Office Fund" or the "Fund") today announced that it has determined, and agreed on with the seller, the acquisition of real estate, as briefly explained below.

1. Summary of the Asset (the "Property")

- (1) Type of asset: Trust beneficial interest
- (2) Property name: Asahi Seimei Yokohama Nishiguchi Building
- (3) Acquisition price: \$5,050 million
- (4) Date of agreement: April 1, 2005 (date of the agreement on purchase and sale of trust beneficial interest)
- (5) Scheduled date of acquisition: May 12, 2005 (scheduled date of delivery of the trust beneficial interest)
- (6) Seller: CREO Investment Second (please refer to 4. "Seller Profile," below)
- (7) Financing: Fund collected by issuance of new investment units

2. Grounds

Nomura Office Fund will acquire the Property in accordance with the Fund's policies as stipulated in its Articles of Incorporation and in order to enhance the property portfolio in the Tokyo suburban area. Especially, the Fund appreciates the following factors.

(1) Location

Yokohama City where the Property is located has been developed as the hub city in Tokyo suburban area and has the function of trading, logistics, manufacturing of machinery and residential. It has now grown to the size in population of 3 and a half million. In addition to this, in recent years, IT and biotechnology industry has been accumulated.

Western side of Yokohama Station where the Property is located is the one of the most prosperous commercial areas where department stores, hotels and many office buildings exist.

The Property is 5 minutes walk from Yokohama Station where 6 railways are connected and located in a well-regulated block of land, which enables good visibility of the building. As mentioned above, the Property satisfies not only ordinary business and commercial oriented tenants but also tenants who expect incoming visitors.

(2) Building condition

The building has the specification that satisfies various requests of tenants such as the average floor area of approximately 790 sq. meters, individually controllable air-conditioning system and floor shape, which could be divided into 8 parcels.

3. Property Summary

Property Name		Asahi Seimei Yokohama Nishiguchi Building				
Type of Asset		Trust beneficial interest				
Trustee		Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd.				
Term of Trust A	Agreement	October 31, 2014				
Location	Registry	11-3 and 11-35, Kitasaiwai 1 chome, Nishi-ku, Yokohama City, Kanagawa				
(Note 1)	Street	11-11, Kitasaiwai 1 chome, Nishi-ku, Yokohama City, Kanagawa				
Access	5	5 minutes on foot from Yokohama St., JR Line				
Completion Dat	e (Note 1)	October 31, 1985				
Use		Office, car park				
Structure (N	lote 1)	SRC, B1/9F				
Seismic Risk (PM	I.) (Note 9)	14%				
Seisiffic Kisk (Fivi	L) (Note 2)	(Shimizu Corporation's Engineering Report dated March 15, 2005)				
Area (Note 1)	Land	1,502.94 sq. meters				
Area (Note 1)	Building	10,055.13 sq. meters				
Type of	Land	Ownership				
Ownership	Building	Ownership				
Percentage of	Land	100%				
Interest	Building	100%				
Building Cover	age Ratio	100%				
(Note 3)						
Floor-area Ratio	(Note 4)	800%, 600%				
Collater	al	None				
		Nomura Real Estate Development Co., Ltd.				
Property Man		*Nomura Real Estate Development Co., Ltd. is the related company of the				
Company (N		Asset Management company under the Investment and Trust Law				
Acquisition	Price	¥5,050 million				
Appraisal Value a	nd Mathad	¥5,050 million (based on the capitalization approach, as of March 15, 2005)				
* *		(Appraiser: Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.)				
Tenant Sumn						
Total number o	f Tenants	25				
Total Rental Income		¥485,281 thousand (Rents for April 2005×12 on the contract basis)				
Estimated NOI (Note 6)		¥357 million				
Occupancy Rate		95.9% (As of April 1, 2005)				
Total Leased Floor Space		6,535.45 sq. meters				
Total Leasable Floor Space		6.817.76sq. meters				
Occupancy Rates in the Past (Note 7)		March 31, March 31, March 31, March 31, March 31,				
		2001 2002 2003 2004 2005				
		- 97.2% 100% 97.3% 94.5%				

(Notes)

- 1. Location and several other items
 - Location, Completion Date, Structure and Areas are based on the information in the real estate registry.
- 2. PML (Probable Maximum Loss)

PML shows the losses caused by a major earthquake, as a percentage of the expected recovery cost to the replacement cost. PML is calculated based on the statistical assumption that within next 475 years there is

a 0.211% annual chance (10% probability of once every 50 years, i.e., average life time of buildings) of major earthquake occurring.

3. Building Coverage Ratio

The Property is located in the commercial area with the principle building coverage ratio of 80%. As the building is fire-resistant in a fire zone, an increased ratio of 100% applies.

Floor-area Ratio

800% for the area within 35 meters from Yokohama Izumicho Line and 600% for the rest

5. Property Management Company

Nomura Real Estate Development Co., Ltd is scheduled to be appointed.

6. Estimated NOI

NOI means the net operating income that is the gross income from the leasing operation less the total operation costs and expenses.

Estimated NOI shows the forecast amount for one year without considering the special factors affecting the year of the acquisition and is calculated based on the following assumptions.

(a) Occupancy rate: 95.9% (same as that as of the date of agreement)

(b) Tax amounts: same as those imposed in 2004 tax year.

7. Occupancy rates in the past are based on the information provided by the seller.

4. Seller Profile

Company Name	CREO Investment Second
Head Office	8-5-1 Nishi-Shinjuku, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo
Representative	Yasuo Ushiyama, Representative Director
Capital	¥3 million
Principal Business	Acquisition, liquidity and sale of the trust beneficial interest based on Trust Agreement
Relationship with Nomura Office Fund	The Seller is 100% owned by Nomura Real Estate Development Co., Ltd and is the related company of the Asset Management Company

5. Transaction with Related Parties

(1) Acquisition of the Property

The acquisition of the property is a transaction with a related party. Nomura Office Fund and the Asset Management Company determined the acquisition and the terms and conditions of the transaction, including the price, pursuant to the restrictions and formalities concerning the transactions with related parties as set forth in the Investment Trust Law and the Asset Management Company's bylaws and duly authorized by the Compliance Committee and Investment Committee of the Asset Management Company.

(2) Transaction concerning Property Management Services
The property management company for the Property, Nomura Real Estate Development
Co., Ltd. is a related party.

6. Schedule for acquisition

April 1, 2005	Execution of the agreement on purchase and sale of the trust beneficial interest
May 12, 2005 (Scheduled)	Closing of the transaction and delivery of the trust beneficial interest

7. Forecasts of Financial Results for the Fiscal Period Ending October 31, 2005

For forecasts of financial results of the Fund for the fiscal period ending October 31, 2005 (May 1, 2005 to October 31, 2005), incorporating the affect of acquisition of the Property, please refer the press release of today's date "Notice Concerning the Revision of Forecasts of Financial Results for the Fiscal Period ending April 30, 2005 and Forecasts of Financial Results for the Fiscal Period ending October 31, 2005".

[Exhibits]

Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3

Appraisal Summary Portfolio after the Acquisition Property Photo Map

Exhibit 4

Appraisal Summary

Property Name	me Asahi Seimei Yokohama Nishiguchi Building		
Appraisal Value	¥5,050,000,000		
Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., LTD.		
Appraisal Date	March 15, 2005		
		(yen)	

Capitalization approach price			(yen)	
Capitalization approach price Price calculated by the direct capitalization approach (1) Gross income Rental Income: (a)-(b) (a) Potential gross rental income (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. Utilities costs Other income (2) Total expenses (2) Total expenses PM fee 11.856,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 33.43.300 Maintenance 122.833.000 Maintenance 132.833.000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 33.43.000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 33.43.000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 33.43.000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 33.43.000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11.856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium Leasing expenses (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Other Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Cost Approach Price 5,050,000,000 Assumed based on the tent standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property.	Item			Grounds
approach (1) Gross income Rental Income: (a)-(b) (a) Potential gross rental income (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (c) Total expenses (d) Maintenance (d) Hee Taxes (d) Hee Taxes (d) Hee Taxes (d) Net operating income from leasing business (d) Not operating income from leasing business (d) Incerest from security deposit (e) Net Cash Flow (NOI=(1)-(2)) (f) Capital expenditure Reserve (e) Net Cash Flow (f) Capitalization rate Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Textin of land Textin Office (3,59,000,000) Ratio of land Assumed based on the rent standard, which is deemed stable for long-medium term. 441,771,000 Assumed based on the competitiveness of the Property, etc. 22,442,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Income from machine charges, etc. 12,843,000 Income from machine charges, etc. 33,413,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 33,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year 1,998,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year 2,351,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 ta	Capitalization approach price			cash flow approach and examined the result by the
(1) Gross income Rental Income: (a)-(b) (a) Potential gross rental income (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (c) Utilities costs (c) Total expenses (d) Maintenance (e) Total expenses (e) Taxes (e) Taxes (f) Rental Insurance permium (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (h) Here (h)			5,050,000,000	
Rental Income: (a)-(b) 419,329,000 (a) Potential gross rental income 441,771,000 Assumed based on the rent standard, which is deemed stable for long-medium term. (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. 22,442,000 Other income 4,768,000 Assumed based on the competitiveness of the Property, etc. Other income 4,768,000 Maintenance 32,996,000 Maintenance 32,996,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 122,833,000 Maintenance 32,996,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business 335,859,000 (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6,2% Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5,9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72,2%	ap			
(a) Potential gross rental income (b) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (c) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (d) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (e) Utilities costs (f) Cotal expenses (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (g) Total expenses (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (g) Total expenses (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (g) Total expenses (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies, etc. (h) Assumed based on the individual etc. (h) Losses due to vacancies dec. (h) Assumed based on the standard premium (h) Losses due to vacancies dec. (h) Assumed based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. (h) Capitalization rate (h) Cost Approach (h) Cost App		` '		
Cost Approach Cost Approac		` / ` /		
Vililities costs 34,645,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc.				deemed stable for long-medium term.
Other income 4,768,000 Income from machine charges, etc. (2) Total expenses 122,833,000 Maintenance 32,996,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 35,413,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property. Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		(b) Losses due to vacancies, etc.	22,442,000	Property, etc.
(2) Total expenses Maintenance		Utilities costs	34,645,000	Assumed based on the historical performance, etc.
Maintenance 32,996,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. Utilities cost 35,413,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property. Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%			4,768,000	Income from machine charges, etc.
Utilities cost 35,413,000 Assumed based on the historical performance, etc. PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Terminal capitalization rate 5.9% Terminal capitalization rate 6.7% Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		(2) Total expenses	122,833,000	
PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) 316,244,000 (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		Maintenance	32,996,000	Assumed based on the historical performance, etc.
PM fee 11,856,000 Assumed based on the standard rate Taxes 38,223,000 Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year Insurance premium 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) 316,244,000 (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		Utilities cost	35,413,000	Assumed based on the historical performance, etc.
Insurance premium Leasing expenses Other expenses Other expenses Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium 1,998,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. 46,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. 48,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. 6,692,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. 6,692,000 Assumed based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		PM fee	11,856,000	
Insurance premium Leasing expenses Other expenses Other expenses Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land 1,998,000 Assumed based on the standard premium 1,998,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. 46,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. 48,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. 6,692,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. 6,692,000 Assumed based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		Taxes	38,223,000	Actual amount imposed in 2004 tax year
Leasing expenses 2,351,000 Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) 316,244,000 (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		Insurance premium		
Other expenses 46,000 Neighborhood association fee (3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		-		, ,
(3) Net operating income from leasing business (NOI=(1)-(2)) (4) Interest from security deposit 6,692,000 Assumed interest rate being 2.0 % p.a. (5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%				Neighborhood association fee
(5) Capital Expenditure Reserve 26,307,000 Assumed based on the Engineering Report prepared by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate 6.2% Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%	(3) Net operating income from leasing business		·	
by the Shimizu Corporation, etc. (6) Net Cash Flow (NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land Dy the Shimizu Corporation, etc. 316,244,000 Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property				
(NCF = (3)+(4)-(5)) (7) Capitalization rate (8.2%) Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land S10,244,000 Based on the standard investment cap rate of the market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property			26,307,000	
(7) Capitalization rate 6.2% market and the location and the characteristics of the Property. Price calculated by the Discounted Cash Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate 5.9% Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property 3.599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%			316,244,000	
Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land Flow Approach Sumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property		(7) Capitalization rate	6.2%	market and the location and the characteristics of
Flow Approach Discount rate Terminal capitalization rate Cost Approach Price Ratio of land Flow Approach Sumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property Assumed based on the risk premium of the market and the Property	Price calculated by the Discounted Cash		5,052,000,000	•
Terminal capitalization rate 6.7% and the Property Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%		low Approach		
Cost Approach Price 3,599,000,000 Ratio of land 72.2%				
Ratio of land 72.2%				and the Property
	Cost Approach Price		3,599,000,000	
Ratio of building 27.8%				
		Ratio of building	27.8%	

Portfolio after Acquisition of the Property

Area	Property Name	Acquisition Price (million yen)	Percentage to total (%) (Note 1)	Date of Acquisition
	Shinjuku Nomura Building	38,730	20.4	December 8, 2003
	JAL Building	33,080	17.4	March 18, 2005
	Itopia Nihonbashi Building	20,600	10.9	December 5, 2003
	Tennozu Park Side Building	14,800	7.8	February 27, 2004
m 1 1	NOF Shibuya Koen-dori Building	12,000	6.3	September 28, 2004
Tokyo central area (Notes 2 and 3)	Isuzu Shiba Building	10,000	5.3	May 25, 2004
	Shinagawa NF Building	5,500	4.0	December 8, 2003
	Surugadai Plaza Building	5,150	2.9	February 27, 200
	Kanda Iwamotocho Tosei Building	3,080	1.6	February 26, 2004
	Seiwa Shinjuku building	2,280	1.2	June 25, 2004
		145,220	76.6	
	TT Landic Toyocho Building	7,550	4.0	December 5, 2003
	Technoport Kamata B	6,430	3.4	December 5, 2003
Vicinity of Tokyo	Farlet Tachikawa Center Square	3,290	1.7	December 5, 2003
(Note 2)	Asahi Seimei Yokohama Nishiguchi Bldg	5,050	2.7	May 12, 2005
	Shin-Yokohama Nikko Building	3,600	1.9	December 5, 2003
		25,920	13.7	
	Utsunomiya NF Building	2,970	1.6	December 5, 2003
	Nomura Real Estate Osaka Building	6,410	3.4	December 5, 2003
Other cities	Nomura Real Estate Yotsubashi Building	3,940	2.1	December 5, 2003
(Note 2)	Kobe Kaigan Building	3,280	1.7	December 5, 2003
	Nomura Real Estate Hiroshima Building	1,930	1.0	December 5, 2003
		18,530	9.8	
	Total (20 properties)	189,670	100.0	

(Notes)

- Percentages have been rounded to the nearest first decimal place. Accordingly, the total may not equal to 100%. Tokyo central area means Chiyoda Ward, Chuo Ward, Minato Ward, Shinjuku Ward, Shingawa Ward and Shibuya Ward. Vicinity of Tokyo means Tokyo excluding the Tokyo central area, Kanagawa Prefecture, Saitama Prefecture and Chiba 2. Prefecture.
- Other cities mean other local cities located in the area other than the Tokyo central area and the vicinity of Tokyo.
- Asahi Seimei Yokohama Nishiguchi Building is included in the above table assuming that the Fund has acquired it. The purchase and sales agreement concerning the Property has been executed on April 1, 2005 and the property is scheduled to be acquired on May 12, 2005.



